

**ADA TOWNSHIP BOARD MEETING
MINUTES
JANUARY 11, 2010**

Meeting was called to order by Supervisor Haga at 7:30 p.m. Members present: Supervisor Haga, Clerk Burton, Treasurer Rhoades, Trustee Westra, Trustee Sytsma, Trustee Proos. Also present: Planning Director Ferro, Fire Chief DuVall, Recording Secretary Johnson and twelve community members. Members absent: Trustee Smith.

PUBLIC INPUT HEARING:

PROPOSED USE OF ALLOCATED U.S. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) FUNDS

Supervisor Haga opened the floor to the public for input on the proposed use of the \$6,966.00 allocated to Ada Township through the U.S. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). Historically the funds have been used for the Go! Bus program, but there are several allowable uses of the funds. Supervisor Haga distributed a summary report of the Go! Bus Trip Report for 2009. There were 17 riders in 2009 for a total of 1,383 trips. On the report, the riders were identified by number only. This time period was for public input; the actual allocation of the funds was to be addressed as an agenda item later in the meeting.

Trustee Proos noted Rider # 2 accounted for approximately 40% of the Go! Bus usage for 2009. He inquired about the trip cost. Supervisor Haga responded the cost to Ada Township was \$18.76 per trip, which is roughly \$6,000 per month. Historically, CDBG funds have been used to reduce this cost to the Township. The cost to the rider is \$7.00 per trip. Riders must meet specific criteria for special needs; applications are reviewed and approved through the Go! Bus program authorities.

PUBLIC INPUT ON PROPOSED USE OF ALLOCATED CDBG FUNDS

Frank Hoover, 700 Marbury, noted it may seem like a lot of money, but it is worthwhile if it benefits residents who would otherwise be confined to their homes.

BOARD COMMENT ON PROPOSED USE OF ALLOCATED CDBG FUNDS

Treasurer Rhoades reported he had done some ad hoc cost comparison between the Go! Bus program and the cab companies. For the riders that could use taxicabs, the cost would be less to the Township, but there is no way for Ada Township to administer the program. In addition, the cost for the Go! Bus does not vary based on the distance to the destination.

Trustee Westra inquired as to the Go! Bus program's process for determining financial need for the service. He noted it is difficult to determine the public value of the service if it is only based on providing service to all eligible persons with special needs, regardless of the depth of financial resources of the various riders.

Treasurer Rhoades reminded the Board they had previously discussed the possibility of limiting the number of trips per rider to conserve usage of the resource, however the challenge lies in ensuring those who truly need the service have appropriate access to it.

Supervisor Haga noted the Go! Bus program did not evaluate the financial need of the applicants. He indicated there was a timeline for determining the usage of the funds.

Trustee Proos reminded the Board how important the Go! Bus service was to the riders. He recommended continued application of the CDBG funds to the Go! Bus program with the potential for investigating alternative uses for the funds in the future. Trustee Sytsma concurred.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion to approve agenda as presented. **Moved by Proos, supported by Rhoades, to approve the agenda as presented. Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

GENERAL TOWNSHIP BUSINESS

CONSENT AGENDA:

Approval of Minutes

December 14, 2009, Regular Board Meeting

Receive and File Various Reports/Communications

1. Fire Call Reports - 11/2009, 12/2009; 2. Planning Commission Minutes - 11/19/2009, 12/1/2009; 3. Building Permit Report - 11/2009; 4. Investment Reports - 8/2009, 9/2009, 10/2009; 5. REGIS Board Minutes - 10/2009; 6. Utility Advisory Board Minutes - 11/2009; 7. Zoning Board of Appeals Minutes - 12/1/2009; 8. Kent County Dispatch Authority Update - 12/21/2009; 9. Phase II Non-Motorized Trail: Covered Bridge Donation Flyer - 12/2009; 10. Ada Historical Society Minutes - 10/10/2009; 11. Parks & Recreation Committee Minutes - 11/20/2009; 12. MTA Legislative Update - 12/4/2009, 12/11/2009, 12/18/2009, 12/23/2009; 13. West Michigan Strategic Alliance Fall 2009 Report; 14. The Michigan Public Policy Survey - 10/2009; 15. Open Space Preservation Advisory Board Minutes - 11/12/2009; 16. Downtown Development Authority Minutes - 11/3/2009; 17. Michigan Township Association - Discontinuing Employee Fringe Benefit Endorsements - 12/2009; 18. Ada Township Fire Department - Paid On-Call Person Retirement - 1/4/2010. **Moved by Westra, supported by Proos, to approve the Minutes and accept the reports and communications under the Consent Agenda. Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

APPROVAL OF WARRANTS AND RECEIPTS

Treasurer Rhoades presented Warrants and Receipts in the following amounts: Hand Checks: #101 \$2,509.77; #205 \$1,613.73; #208 \$1,983.23; #590 \$392.60; #591 \$1,834.73; #592 \$117.32; Total All Hand Checks \$8,451.38. Warrants: #101 \$18,732.46; #205 \$6,314.07; #208 \$802.40; #211 \$334.04; #213 \$37.49; #590 \$73,378.57; #591 \$103,218.83; #592 \$89.00; Total Warrants \$202,906.86. Total All Checks and Warrants \$211,358.24. **Moved by Trustee Westra, supported by Clerk Burton, to approve the Warrant Report for January 11, 2010, in the total amount of \$211,358.24.**

Trustee Proos asked for detail on the insurance deductibles cited in the Check Register. Supervisor Haga explained they pertained to a water spill caused by the pressure-reducing valve system on Ada Drive.

Trustee Proos suggested considering a lawsuit to recover costs rising from the former clerk investigation.

In request to Trustee Westra's request for clarification, Supervisor Haga explained the charges for the Bette Roberts Trust legal services related to a Zoning Board of Appeals matter in which the ZBA did not approve a variance request for a driveway access and a lawsuit was subsequently filed. The issue is over two years old, but has been relatively static until now.

Trustee Westra indicated it would be very helpful to have the Board provided with an open item inventory citing all projects and ongoing legal matters that includes the initiation date, scope, current status, projected completion dates, potential legal issues and exposure, anticipated costs, etc.

Trustee Westra stated "unemployment compensation defense" might be a more accurate line item description than "former clerk investigation".

Roll Call: Yes - Westra, Proos, Sytsma, Rhoades, Burton, Haga. No - 0. Absent - 1. Motion carried.

PAY APPLICATION #1, ROSELLE PARK PROJECT 2009: YOUNGSTROM CONSTRUCTION

Moved by Westra, supported by Sytsma, to approve Pay Application #1 to Youngstrom Construction for the Roselle Park Project 2009, which is the trail and bridge project, in the amount of \$23,658.09. Trustee Proos noted there was no representative from the Township engineers or the construction company to present the pay application to the Board and be available for questions. He felt the precedent had been firmly established that pay applications would be presented by the interested party to allow the Township to ask questions about progress, change orders, etc. Supervisor Haga agreed the practice had been instituted with pay applications related to the non-motorized trail project; Trustee Proos indicated it should be instituted and extended to all pay applications for all projects to allow the Board to be kept informed and to have questions asked and issues resolved as the projects progress. Trustee Westra agreed with the principle and encouraged the Board to be uniformly consistent with all purchasing, procurement, and contracts in both policy and enforcement. Supervisor Haga noted the Administrative Committee was in the process of reviewing the overall financial policies and procedures. Trustee Proos commented, to the matter at hand, the contractor should not be punished if the issue wasn't clear, but in the future, it would be necessary to have a representative present at the meeting and that should be conveyed to the contractor at the time of the submittal of the pay application. **Roll Call: Yes - Proos, Rhoades, Sytsma, Westra, Burton, Haga. No - 0. Absent - 1. Motion carried.**

PUBLIC COMMENT OTHER THAN AGENDA

There was no Public Comment.

BOARD COMMENT

Supervisor Haga distributed the Notice of Hearing for the Millhuff unemployment appeals redetermination of benefits, to be held on February 2, 2010.

Supervisor Haga distributed the Revenue and Expenditure Report for the period ending 01/31/2010, per Trustee Westra's request for the project reports through the BS&A.Net system. He noted we have received \$10,350.00 in donations for the covered bridge project to date.

Supervisor Haga distributed a copy of the Sanitary Sewage Force Cell-to-Cell Survey report as completed by the contractor, Det Norske Veritas (DNV). There is an upcoming meeting with DNV to develop a plan to further examine the four sites as recommended in the report.

In response to input by Board members regarding contract and bidding for Township water and sewer services, over the last year Supervisor Haga has had numerous informal meetings and feasibility discussions with Township engineers, engineers at various conferences, other utilities, and even potential vendors. In addition, the Township's risk control review at the end of 2009 recommended that contracts be implemented for all Township service providers. Therefore, in the first step of this process, Supervisor Haga will be providing a detailed report to the Board outlining the scope of function and duties currently provided to the Township by the contractor, Ryan's Modern Sewer Cleaning. Supervisor Haga asked the Board for ideas and contacts relating to this issue.

Treasurer Rhoades noted the Ada Cogeneration limited partnership satisfaction papers have been signed indicating that Ada Township has satisfied in full the consent judgment of March 10, 2009. This issue is now closed.

Trustee Proos requested an update on the status of donations for the bridge. Planning Director Ferro stated the call for donations was sent out through the website and the *AdaView*. He indicated approximately \$18,000 in donations is needed; over \$10,000 has been received. In addition, there are a few pledges still outstanding actual receipt; Planning Director Ferro is continuing to pursue actual receipt of the pledged amounts. Supervisor Haga stated the Board would be provided a written report at the next Board meeting.

Trustee Proos inquired if there had been any further investigation into the Knapp and Grand River southeast corner shared county-township purchase. Supervisor Haga replied it had been discussed in the Open Space meeting and the subgroup which will meet on Thursday will consider the direction the Township wishes to take.

Trustee Proos asked if there had been any issues with the trails regarding snow removal. Supervisor Haga noted there had been one issue on the unfinished trail on Conservation, but it had been addressed with barricades and identification of the trail under construction.

Trustee Proos strongly commended Clerk Burton and her staff for the excellent work on the *AdaView*. The articles, advertising, and layout are outstanding.

In light of the recent loss of Mr. Bob Hill, an Ada resident who was very involved in community work and the Ada Historical Society, Trustee Proos recommended the Township consider a permanent recognition of Mr. Hill's contributions to Ada.

Regarding the 3 Mile hill snow and safety issue, Trustee Proos suggested contacting the development next to the hill to inquire if it would be possible to open the emergency service road gate at the peak of the hill to reduce safety concerns. Supervisor Haga stated he would ask Planning Director Ferro to investigate.

Trustee Proos asked for an update on the permits and applications for permits. Supervisor Haga stated the report had just been received from Planning Director Ferro; it would be in the next Board packet.

Trustee Proos thanked Treasurer Rhoades for the updated treasury report, but requested the interest earned on the trail money also be cited.

Clerk Burton reported a \$250.00 gift certificate had been offered as an incentive to complete the survey for the high-speed internet access in Ada. Ms. Gloria Ann Obetts' name was drawn from the 400 responses. She is in her 80's and this was the first prize she has ever won. She was thrilled, and everyone was excited on her behalf. Congratulations go out to Ms. Obetts and thanks to everyone for participating in the survey. The project is now in the stage of assembling a marketing plan to encourage high-speed internet providers to offer service to Ada, Lowell, and Vergennes Townships, including the unserved and underserved areas. Copies will be distributed for the next Board meeting. Supervisor Haga explained the project approved by the Board outlined the survey as well as the development of the marketing plan.

Trustee Westra commented there were quite a few entries in the job cost report that simply cite "Summary AP" with a date. Supervisor Haga explained during the budget process, a code had been mistakenly entered that deleted the ability to enter the name of the accounts payable client. The issue has been fixed, but the data already entered cannot be corrected.

Trustee Westra noted when the Board approved the new cell phone provider there was a discussion of the new IRS regulations on cell phone usage as an employee benefit. Supervisor Haga indicated the details have not been obtained yet, but that the IRS has some changes.

Trustee Westra inquired if the report data received on the waste system would be incorporated into the GIS system. Supervisor Haga agreed that it would be possible.

Trustee Westra asked for clarification if the water and sewer services would be put out to bid in 2010. Supervisor Haga stated it is not planned. He indicated the current contractor is performing the duties well and the process for developing specifications for bid and putting the services out to bid would result in a considerable overall increase in the costs for the service. Trustee Westra reiterated his position that a consistent implementation of the purchasing policy across all services was critical.

Trustee Westra commented on the covered bridge addition to the trail and the Downtown Development Authority's discussion of the Bronson Street improvement project. He reminded the Board of the time and money already spent on the Charette project and urged that the projects springing from the Charette be prioritized and funded before new projects are developed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

PROPERTY DIVISION MORATORIUM EXCEPTION REQUEST - HILLIKER PROPERTY - 2741 EGYPT VALLEY

Supervisor Haga requested Planning Director Jim Ferro to present the moratorium exception request to the Board. Planning Director Ferro noted on the two previous Board meetings Ms. Hilliker had been unable to be present due to health reasons but was present on this occasion. Planning Director Ferro clarified again he had not advised Ms. Hilliker during the course of their interactions, but had simply provided information on Township policies, procedures, status on proposed zoning changes, etc. There have been a few moratorium exemptions granted; one of those is in close proximity to Ms. Hilliker's property. Two of the approved exemptions had had purchase agreements in place prior to the moratorium, but the third exemption did not have a

purchase agreement pending or a land division application filed, but only a survey completed. Ms. Hilliker does not have a purchase agreement in place. Planning Director Ferro noted under the current zoning regulations, the property would be eligible for one division into two parcels. If the proposed zoning changes are approved, the property would not be sufficient acreage for division. The moratorium expires February 28, 2010 and the Planning Commission is moving ahead with preparing a recommendation to the Board on the proposed changes. Ms. Hilliker thanked the Board for their oversight efforts on the planning and zoning. She stated she had already had a survey performed before, but did not feel the earlier survey and proposed division into three parcels sufficiently protected the stream and the natural beauty of the property. However, the property division is part of her retirement planning, so she would like to split the property into two parcels in a manner that would preserve the important features. Although she was focused on keeping abreast of zoning and planning changes that would affect the potential split, she was not aware of the proposed moratorium on planning applications and is now requesting an exemption to allow the division. If approved, she is prepared to move promptly forward on the necessary steps to complete the application. **Moved by Westra, supported by Proos, to approve the request for an exemption for the Hilliker property at 2741 Egypt Valley Avenue to the moratorium instituted by Resolution R-092809.** The Board discussed the possible implications of zoning changes for Ms. Hilliker's plans to split the property. Clerk Burton and Trustee Proos noted the moratorium expires at the end of February and the application would need to be approved prior to the expiration of the moratorium to be eligible under the current zoning. Treasurer Rhoades, who is a member of the Planning Commission, and Planning Director Ferro confirmed proposed changes in the zoning, once finalized, would potentially impact the eligibility of the division. **Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

NEW BUSINESS

RECONSIDERATION OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CIP) FOCUS GROUP PROPOSAL

Moved by Sytsma, supported by Burton, to reconsider the recommendation to contract with Gartner & Associates to conduct CIP focus groups in an amount not to exceed \$5,700.00. Trustee Proos noted the issue had been discussed frankly and extensively at the last meeting; he did not feel the issue needed to be re-visited. He stated that over 400 responses were obtained to the high-speed internet survey. He felt the focus groups were unnecessary and the cost was excessive. Trustee Westra concurred the issue should not be re-opened as the decision had been made. He reiterated there were other more cost-effective ways to gather input and the focus should be on funding existing Charette projects. Trustee Sytsma stated the Planning Commission had requested reconsideration of the decision. Trustee Westra stated a member of the original opposition to the proposal was not present; he felt it was inappropriate to reconsider in her absence. Supervisor Haga explained Robert's Rules of Order required a reconsideration motion be entered at the next meeting following the applicable motion. Clerk Burton stated there is a deadline issue for effective use of the information gathered from the focus groups. **Roll Call: Yes - Sytsma, Burton, Rhoades, Haga. No - Proos, Westra. Absent - 1. Motion to reconsider is carried and the original motion to approve the recommendation to contract with Gartner & Associates to conduct CIP focus groups in an amount not to exceed \$5,700.00 is reinstated.**

In light of the Planning Commission's request for reconsideration, Supervisor Haga asked Planning Director Ferro to present the Planning Commission / CIP Committee position. Planning Director Ferro directed the Board's attention to the memo in the packets from Tom Korth, Planning Commission Chairperson, dated 12/23/2009 outlining the reasons why the Planning Commission and the CIP Committee support the proposal to conduct focus groups to gather feedback on the CIP. Planning Director Ferro explained the use of focus groups are a good fit for the input needs, as they provide a good forum for two-way interaction and they work well with complex issues such as this. He noted that Ms. Sara Easter from the Planning Commission had not been able to be present at the meeting to present the case for the focus groups, nor had Mr. Jeff Gartner, of Gartner & Associates, a consultant who had advised on the scope of work and the optimum methods for obtaining the data.

Mr. Jeff Gartner then presented the position that the issues to be addressed in evaluating the CIP priorities was too complex to be effectively presented in another format. He maintained focus groups were the optimal method for gaining meaningful responses for this particular issue as the group dynamic fostered development of opinions.

Trustee Westra inquired as to Mr. Gartner's previous experience in focus groups on CIP planning for communities, as well as the stage of planning for those communities at the time of the focus groups. Mr. Gartner indicated he had had experience with three similar situations and some were further progressed in the planning process and some were not as advanced. He stated that focus groups are not necessarily demographically diverse, but the method for selection increases the geographical diversification, which allows for a cross-representation by neighborhood.

Treasurer Rhoades observed not only is the CIP Committee seeking the community's priorities, but the community's preference for spending the fund balance versus building it.

Given the existing list of proposed projects, Trustee Westra urged surveying a broader cross-section of the community through a less costly method. He argued that the proposed focus groups did not build ongoing communication lines between the residents and the Township and a survey could be effective and less expensive and could be used to build and enhance bi-directional communication with the community.

Trustee Proos advised against spending down the fund balance simply for the sake of spending it. He felt it was critical in this economy to be fiscally conservative.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOCUS GROUP PROPOSAL

Deb Emery, 7534 Fase Street, expressed concern about the cost, but also concern about which persons would be selected for the focus groups. Supervisor Haga explained the consultant would be independently selecting the participants based on the methodology outlined by the consultant. Ms. Emery did not feel confident about the process.

Sara Easter, 8842 Beech Hill Court, is a member of Planning Commission working on the capital improvement plan. She noted there is almost 100% of the annual revenue currently in the General Fund. Although some Board members and some tax payers may not want the fund balance spent down, the auditors advise a normal fund balance of 30 - 50 % of annual revenue. Ms. Easter stated she would prefer the fund not be maintained at 100% of revenue, but it be spent on projects that benefit the community. Her primary concern was the will of the community be clearly understood, so the funding can be spent or retained as dictated by the taxpayers. She did not feel these complex questions could be answered adequately by a survey, even though she has worked on the development and analysis of surveys before.

Frank Hoover, 700 Marbury, stated the focus group proposal was a waste of money. He questioned why the votes had changed since the majority at the last meeting had voted down the proposal. He urged the Board to demonstrate backbone on this issue.

Jeff Gartner, Gartner & Associates, acknowledged there had been 400 responses to the high-speed internet survey, but explained the high-speed internet survey had been fairly brief, which elicits greater response. A survey based on the CIP priorities would be longer and more in-depth, and would therefore be less likely to yield as high a response or to get the same quality of information that would be provided through focus groups.

CONTINUED BOARD COMMENT ON CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOCUS GROUP PROPOSAL

Trustee Proos noted a large sum of money had been spent on the Charette process, which had great community participation. However, there has been a failure to implement the majority of the result of the Charette process. He stated finishing the trails project would dip deeply into the fund balance, as would any unexpected costs. He felt the CIP focus group proposal was simply spending money that should be preserved to obtain redundant information.

Clerk Burton explained the high-speed internet survey had not been quick, easy, or inexpensive. It took a great deal of staff time and resources in the preparation, distribution, and tabulation of the survey. She pointed out there was no public response to the Planning Commission hearing. The Charette information is aging and the economy has shifted dramatically; it is critical to obtain current feedback.

Trustee Westra stated the CIP process is a regularly occurring process. He asked if the use of focus groups would become a reoccurring method for shaping the CIP and if the costs should be included in the budget. He concluded by urging the use of direct media to engage the community in a long-term communication process.

Roll Call: Yes - Sytsma, Burton, Rhoades, Haga. No - Proos, Westra. Absent - 1. Reconsidered motion carried.

ORDINANCE O-011110-1, AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ARTICLE II OF CHAPTER 22 OF THE ADA TOWNSHIP CODE OF ORDINANCES, MASSAGE PARLORS

Planning Director Ferro presented the proposed Ordinance to amend the existing regulations concerning massage parlors in Ada Township. The Ada Township Code of Ordinance currently includes a number of exemptions to allow use of massage therapy as a legitimate therapeutic practice. The proposed ordinance slightly broadens the existing exemptions to allow certified personal trainers and athletic trainers in general, as opposed to only team trainers. In addition, the proposed ordinance removes the Professional Office zoning district restriction, although all other zoning regulations governing business in Ada still apply. Planning Director Ferro stated recent state legislation started the process of establishing licensing requirements for massage therapists and trainers. **Moved by Proos, supported by Sytsma, to adopt Ordinance O-011110-1, which is an ordinance to amend Article II of Chapter 22 of the Ada Township Code of Ordinances.** The Board briefly discussed the current state of the therapeutic massage industry in Ada, offered both in-home and through businesses. Treasurer Rhoades expressed concern that home-based massage therapists may not be effectively regulated presently. **Roll Call: Yes - Sytsma, Proos, Westra, Burton, Rhoades, Haga. No - 0. Absent - 1. Resolution is adopted.**

APPOINTMENT OF A PAID ON-CALL FIRE FIGHTER

Fire Chief Jim DuVall outlined the recommendation to appoint Thomas Torresson as a paid on-call fire fighter. Mr. Torresson has 1.5 years of experience with Grand Rapids Township. His firefighting training is current but he will require Medical First Responder training as his has lapsed. **Moved by Westra, supported by Rhoades, to concur with the recommended appointment of Thomas Torresson as a paid on-call fire fighter.** In light of Mr. Torresson's cardiac issues (of which he has been cleared by a cardiologist), Trustee Proos recommended an increased frequency of cardiac evaluations as part of his annual physical. **Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT BOARD REAPPOINTMENT: MR. WALTER VANDERWULP AND MR. TED WRIGHT

Supervisor Haga requested the Board confirm the reappointment of Mr. Walter VanderWulp and Mr. Ted Wright for a four-year term to the Ada Downtown Development Authority. **Moved by Westra, supported by Burton, to approve the recommendation to reappoint Mr. Walter VanderWulp and Mr. Ted Wright for a four-year term to the Ada Downtown Development Authority. Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

BOARD OF REVIEW APPOINTMENT: MR. GERALD WILTERINK

Supervisor Haga requested the Board confirm the appointment of Mr. Gerald Wilterink to the Ada Township Board of Review to fill the vacancy left by the resignation of Mr. John Sabin as a result of his moving from the Township. **Moved by Westra, supported by Rhoades, to approve the recommendation to appoint Mr. Gerald Wilterink to the Ada Township Board of Review to fill the vacancy left by Mr. John Sabin, with the appointment to expire 1/11/2011. Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

CONTINUATION OF MEETING PAST 10:00 PM

Trustee Westra noted the time was 10:00pm. Supervisor Haga called for a motion to continue the meeting past 10:00. **Moved by Proos, supported by Burton, to continue to proceed on the agenda after the 10:00pm hour. Yes - 6, No - 0; Motion carried.**

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM PROJECT APPROVAL

Moved by Proos, supported by Sytsma, to continue to use the funds received from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) to support the Go! Bus program. Trustee Westra expressed concern that a majority of the Go! Bus rides were taken by a very small minority of the users and that financial need of the individual user is not currently a part of the consideration process. Trustee Proos indicated the use of the CDBG funds has best served the community in the past by supporting the Go! Bus. If abuses of the system are discovered, there are options Ada can pursue, such as limiting trips. **Yes - 5, No - 1; Motion carried.**

OPPORTUNITY FOR ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no additional Public Comment.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 10:04 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan Burton
Ada Township Clerk

RS/kj